

Challenges

- Quality of life
- Social and Emotional concerns
- Excessive travel time (transportation)

Data Insights (Programs)

- Adding Capacity vs. Cost Benefit
- Transportation
- Over crowding / Redevelopment
- Census Data

Outcomes

- Flexibility
- Equitable Access
- Resources - Efficient Allocation

Questions

- Will we see a draft?
- How to communicate/gain access to the Pyramid?
- How will it be implemented?
- Roll out?
- Maintaining and protecting marginalized people / communities, how?

Table 7 Key Takeaways

<u>Top Boundary Priorities</u> *ranked!	<u>Data to Consider</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. uneven distribution 2. numerous split feeders 3. suboptimal instructional program locations 4. Increased travel times 5. Lack of Space 6. Longer facility renovation wait times 	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - bus route lengths - neighborhood values - community <p>equitable redistribution</p>
<u>Questions about Next Steps</u>	<u>Desired Outcomes</u>
<p>How weighted is community voice in the drafts? </p> <p>Will the community see the data breakdown? #transparency </p> <p>What will implementation look like? How will disruption for students be minimized?</p>	<p>Local /base school programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - AAP - Immersion - Spec. ed <p>standardized grade levels by school type elem/mid/high</p> <p>equitable opportunity for all</p> <p>standardized grade levels by school type</p> <p>neighborhoods kept together</p> <p>not breaking up families due to specialized programming</p> <p>\ smaller class sizes !</p>

① Top Boundary Priorities ② Data and Insights to Consider

- Implications for AAP
base vs. center school selection
- Split Feeder Schools
- Uneven distribution of students
 - safety
 - teacher/student ratio
 - facilities (# of auxillary classrooms)
- * Transportation (low priority)

③ Desired Outcomes

- ↑ Retention rates (loss to private schools)
- Efficiencies of scale (resourcing, budgeting, facilities, transportation..)
- * Longer term plans - flexible to accomodate projected population changes
- Stronger base elementary schools that offer IB + AAP

④ Questions about the Next Steps

- Level of communication thru out process → more is better!
- How specific will proposed Δs be
lookup address?
- Phased approach?
- How involved are District Board Reps?
- All regions at same time?

1. Which of these challenges do you think should be prioritized when creating new boundary scenarios?
 - Overcrowding
 - Proper classrooms vs. trailers
 - Split boundaries
 - Community programs split between schools
 - boundaries split on roads & not w/in neighborhoods
 - Bus transportation reduction
 - walkability
 - Grandfathering in current attendance / siblings.
 - Not allowing the "Bigger" voices to overshadow and push their own agendas.
2. What data or insights should we incorporate in this boundary review?
 - Pyramids having:
 - APP Center schools (Annandale only school w/o APP center)
 - Immersion programs
 - Special Ed. CSS cites
 - Standardize
 - K-6 vs. K-5
 - middle grades 6-8 / 7-8
 - after school programming
 - Program Continuity
 - and language / multilingual learner services for after school programming
 - Attendance zones
 - how many schools kids has to get to their school.
 - Public Surveys
 - academic programming
 - transportation
 - representative of community
 - Equity
 - ↳ quantitative & qualitative
 - ↳ presented back to the community w/ full transparency
 - Socio-economic equality in every pyramid
 - Access to all program FCPS offers at all high schools
3. What outcomes do you hope to see from this boundary review process? How might this process positively impact our community?
 - Balance of programs (& access w/o disrupting base school access)
 - Transparency in a timely manner for all decision making.
 - Equity while maintaining a sense of community
 - Equitable funding of all schools
4. Do you have any questions about the next steps in this boundary review process?
 - How will the process ensure that there is an equitable voice heard from all demographics. Not giving more weight to those who are backed w/ their education & socioeconomic status, drowning out the smaller voices w/ equally legitimate concerns.
 - Timely transparency at every step
 - Community Surveys should be in phase 1 also to go along w/ Data Collection.
 - ↳ only having evening meetings limits access to certain communities who work in the evenings
 - Hold meetings specifically in peoples native languages so they are comfortable attending & interacting.

- ① Challenges related to current school boundaries.

- * keeping communities
together - ~~don't scatter~~
shape

- crossing major arteries -
495 / 50 / 7

- ## ② Important data or insights to consider

- * Survey of PTA Boards,
Community associations,
School administration

- Don't break existing single feeder systems

- * How does equity work - when moving students from a #10 to a #110 school? RANKED BY

- How backfill the gap of loss? FCPS
→ using current abundance

- ④ Questions about next steps. → Using data to attend to accounting for attendance.

- ③ Outcomes of boundary review process.
Positively impact our community

- Full transparency of process, decision making and accountability

- * Transparency of the process -
Who are the people chosen
Why are they signing NDAs?

- * When will there be an opportunity for us to share our statements?

① Boundary challenges/priorities

All were discussed as equally critical -
Ex. include safety for transportation

Specific request for measurable criteria for these challenges.

③ Hopes for outcome/positive prospects

Safety > less incidences of violence

Equity / Parity among schools

Insight into sentiments of community will be very clear to decision-makers.

② Data insights

- Neighborhood boundaries and major hubs - divided by major arteries
- Commute times and pathways
- Transparency and accessibility of data as its ongoing
- consider current splits
- Budget transparency as a whole, programming, funding sources
- Consider homebuyers who buy into a particular pyramid.
- Data point: how will this influence community resources provided by the school

④ Questions

How does this relate to renovation and grandfathering?

Why are some schools considered "good" and others are not?

Will teachers get the opportunity for a forum as well?

Can we find a way to measure change in "drain" from public to private

TOP priorities



Equitable program access

Enrollment equity capacity < including diversity size

Data / Insights

Consider where sidewalks exist for walkers
- collect data

Consider how development impacts capacity

Consider enrollment impacts > concentrated in areas

Hopes based on special programs (e.g. ^{immigrant}) > collect data from Questions county / developers

Funding to build facilities
Transparency for capacity

How

What does the model for development interact with the school district growth plan?

Priorities are met
Make it make sense

1

overcrowding/capacity
↳ monitoring guidelines

transportation

- ↳ distance
- ↳ common sense efficiency
- ↳ passing schools on the way

split-feeding - eliminate if possible
especially MS → HS

* Pick a model

- ↳ 6→8 or 7→8

2

* population growth
↳ better projecting - more long-term

Impact of centers/special programs

on surrounding community +
distance to class, grade school

Grandfather clause - splitting families,
splitting community/neighbourhoods +
activities from schools

* Decentralizing special services
- have at each school

Teacher to student ratio

3

reducing overcrowding
↳ fragmenting
* decentralization
↳ all programs at all schools

more intuitive - transparent

Overcoming stereotypes of
schools which impacts
neighborhoods, values of
property

* Maintain sense of community
↳ common sense planning

4

Is the focus on diversity or
maximizing efficiency of
resources?

What are the options? New schools?
Bigger schools? or neither?

How do you determine under-enrollment
or over-enrollment? Is it only capacity
of building?

How do we plan for what we don't
know is coming?

How do we account for projections
when it is a 10yr plus timeline from
planning to development?

- ①
- Inequality
 - History of previous neighborhoods
 - Proximity
 - Access^{to} Programs
↳ Equity

- ②
- Bus Routes (Double Route shortages)
 - Stability b/w Level for changes
 - Percentage of those switching
 - Percentage of Walkers
 - Distance of Travel
 - AAP in every school
 - Split Feeders
 - Income Inequality (Title I)

- ③
- AAP Level IV in every school
 - 6th Grade in middle (consistency - also country wide)
 - Resolve income inequality
 - Better access to programs (immersion, Special Ed, Montessori, AAP)
 - Reduce Segregation
 - Even out PTO/PTA
 - More Kids Walking
↳ Building Community

- ④
- How Will Transparency be ensured through the entire process
 - Specifically "Gap" between Phase 1/2
 - How is Balancing Computer between Human component
 - When would new boundaries start
 - Clarity (Simplicity) in questions?

What's the roll out plan exactly?

What else are you doing to fix problems: renovations, teacher issues?

How many different optional scenarios will be presented and how flexible are they going to be about them?

Will community members be able to make ~~make~~ their own models.

Can we break up FCPS? 2 consti?

What about the 200 rooms for SACC + Headstart/mar-
real estate?

How will teacher pay be impacted?

Why are you only having 2 STAC
community members per region?

How can all of this be effectively completed in 2 years, every 5 years?

Will this be comprehensive every 5 years and tweaked in between; or will it be static?

Are there considerations of new buildings and locations?

Why not move to virtual learning?

Table 6 Key Takeaways

Top Boundary Priorities

- Over capacity / enrollment in schools
- Physical neighborhood boundaries
- ~~Splitting schools~~
~~feeder~~
- Reduce splitting feeder schools

Data and Insights

- Capacity vs. Actual
- Data around modular use
- Housing development data
- Staff turnover and relation to capacity
- Identify critical schools

Desired Outcomes

- Glasgow
- Appropriate class sizes

Questions

- How will schools be prioritized?
 - What data will be taken into consideration?
 - Will it take ~~to~~ the full 2 years?
- What data will Dr. Reid consider?
- Will student feedback be included?

Table 4 Key Takeaway Notes

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>① * Lack of the definition of equity.</p> <p>* The least disruption to students education to achieve equitable boundaries.</p> <p>* Student MS being moved do to overcrowded/undercrowded</p> <p>* Access to different academics</p> | <p>③ * Minimal disruption to the students</p> <p>* 6-8 (3 years) grade for all Middle Schools</p> <p>* Accurate data to make equitable decisions</p> <p>* Consistent programs across the county.</p> |
| <p>② * Validating addresses across the county to ensure correct number enrollment</p> <p>* Accurate school projection number data.</p> <p>* Identifying misalignment of M.S. capacity to High School</p> <p>* Distance for student commute (drive & walking)</p> <p>* Numbers of students leaving schools for specific programs</p> | <p>④ * How are these four factors being weighed compared to others?</p> <p>* Does Thru have access to students Personal Information (P.I.I)</p> <p>* Will / How will educators be brought into the process</p> <p>* How will "grandfathering" look like at the conclusion?</p> <p>* How new government policies may affect student populations in the county?</p> |

Question

- ① Well being / community / continuity
↓
Capacity
- Programming \Rightarrow balancing Title 1 needs across boundaries
- ② Long term Considerations
Work through Solutions \in
work of school boundaries \rightarrow work through solutions \in
within boundaries \rightarrow All data
+ Capacity = Long term plan + explain
prioritization giving rationale for how data was
prioritized. Used to show problem actually
exists & existing prioritizing solved
- How many kids Transparency + use of data
for kids outside of their
base school + reason for
split feeder
- ③ ↑ Community engagement
 \Rightarrow Can that be addressed
other ways
- Transparency of results + data
used decision process
 \Rightarrow Find other solutions to solve
issues not improved by Δ to boundaries
 \rightarrow improve access in programming
at every school to ↓ issues \in
boundary Δ of schools for programming
 \rightarrow more consistency across county for programming
support
- \rightarrow Make All Middle Schools 7 & 8 Grade!

Questions

- * ① How will data be ~~shared~~ available / shared along the way is?
- ② How are all impacted schools able to share cancers / part = concern that committees too big miss info
- ③ Δ to boundaries Impact
 - * How will you prioritizing priorities ex. trans., capacity, programs
 - when not every need can be met eq.
- ④ Impact of Δ in boundaries to plans for new schools
- ⑤ Accountability of SB to being able to give input / advocate for their students
- ⑥ Metrics / measures for outcomes of these Δ
- ⑦ How are families able to plan long term if Δ is frequent

- (1)
- * Commute time
 - * Attendance Islands
 - * Equitable Access
 - * Review cadence/timeline

- (2)
- * School schedules
- childcare
 - * Enrollment/capacity
 - * Construction timeline
 - * Disruption - current students

- (3)
- * Shorter commute
 - * Even distribution of student population
 - * Equitable access

- (4)
- * Implementation time
 - * Support for schools/students
 - * Mandatory for all students?

Table TL Key Takeaways

Top Boundary Priorities

- Transportation - proximity - not zoned for schools closest
- special programming - more broadly accessible
- over capacity
 - teacher quality
- grandfathering + implementation

Desired Outcomes

- ~~How are we assessing access to community programming?~~ → set a shorter time for students
- Better academics for all students
- Proximity - HS closer to home
- More diversity in community
 - diff ways = more than racial diversity
- include a process to review boundaries more frequently
- Fairness → logical review of locations
- Keep neighborhood groups together

Data and Insights to Consider

- similarity in college readiness - across school
- How are we assessing access to programming?
- Academically challenging curriculum available to students
- awareness of main roadblock:
 - zone protection
- list of schools being remodeled (facilities)
- demographics - recent arrivals
- maximize socio-economic + racial

Questions about the Next Steps

- Ensure Follow through of community engagement sessions' feedback
- Increase Teacher retention
→ Teacher satisfaction
- Will there be an phase-in process? What are implementation details?
- Can we get more information about the company partnering with FCPS to collect/analyze data?

- Is there money in the budget to hire more teachers?

How will future development and growth impact the future of rezoning?

What does the partnership between transportation, developments surrounding neighborhoods look like?

Rose Community Study Project
Sister - a partner of
Community Based Transportation
changes & issues of concern

- the time studied
the effects from 2000 to 2010

Transportation Committee

Planning - the people that

should be involved in planning
and community

Time - the people involved in planning

Desired outcomes

Challenges ahead this year

Maximize state funding & local

equally distributed resources

Local offices (803452)

Challenges ahead this year

Maximize state funding & local

equally distributed resources

Local offices (803452)

Remaining activities

Local offices (803452)

Local offices (803452)

Local offices (803452)

Local offices (803452)

Local offices (803452)